The IEC61508 Purchaser's hymn sheet

A few key points for those purchasing goods and services for the IEC61508 group of standards

by the 61508 Association

SAFETY INSTRUMENTED SYSTEMS are too important to leave to chance!

DISCLAIMER: Whilst every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the information contained in this document neither The 61508 Association nor its members will assume any liability for any use made thereof.
Important and surprising fact number 1

The IEC61508 group of standards require that your suppliers and sub-contractors demonstrate “Functional Safety Management”

... so certification of Functional Safety Management, or other appropriate proof, is the FIRST thing a purchaser should ask for.

... interestingly, certificates for components are NOT required under the standard (but they might be appropriate for your project).

... so don't make the mistake of asking for certificates for equipment *(the bit that isn't demanded)* when you've forgotten to ask for proof of Functional Safety Management *(the bit that IS demanded)*.
Important and surprising
fact number 2

The IEC61508 group of standards require that your
suppliers and sub-contractors demonstrate
“Functional Safety Management”

... IEC61508 Part 1 Clause 6

... matching requirements appear in
the sector specific guidance
standards (For example: IEC61511
Part 1 Clause 5)

... Regulators are requiring that
safety management is properly
covered (See the HSE guidance -
“Managing Competence for Safety
Related Systems” July 2007)

http://www.hse.gov.uk/consult/condocs/competence.htm
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Important and surprising fact number 3

The presence of a certified expert is NOT proof of “Functional Safety Management”

... The functional safety management will review the competencies of everyone involved and it identifies those who require particular expertise. Thus the use of a functional safety expert may sometimes be appropriate as a decision that comes out of a contractor's or supplier's Functional Safety Management, but **it is NOT a substitute for** Functional Safety Management

... Functional Safety Management covers EVERBODY involved

    ... not just the expert

    ... not just the technician

... it involves everybody involved with the safety system (including you, the purchaser!)
Important and surprising fact number 4

IEC 61508 group of standards does NOT require certification for components. It does require proof of dependability and suitability for the application.

The report is required and NOT the certificate alone

... The report behind the certificate gives the designer of the safety loop the reliability data needed to design the loop

... The report needs to show how the data was generated

... The report needs to show the limits of applicability for the data

... The report needs to show restrictions and conditions of use
Important and surprising fact number 5

The report that gives the reliability data for the component is the ESSENTIAL information that the designer needs to design the safety loop.

The safety loop designer CANNOT design the safety loop without the reliability data.

... A certificate without the report giving the data is useless to the loop designer.

... A certificate without the reliability data and the basis of the assessment is a waste of paper.

... If you don't have the report then you can't use the component.
Important and surprising fact number 6

A certified claim that a component is “SIL 2” (or any other SIL number) does NOT mean that it is suitable for use in your “SIL 2” safety loop.

... The SIL number does not apply to the components in isolation

... The SIL rating applies to the whole loop and NOT just the individual components in the loop

... It is NOT at all unusual to find that a collection of “SIL 3” parts put together in a loop only achieve SIL 1 or SIL 2 ... and the SIL rating is a safety LOOP value not a component value
Important and surprising fact number 7

Every component in the loop needs to provide sufficient reliability so that the loop achieves the SIL rated integrity

... This means that the valve, pump or end device that takes the ultimate action to maintain safety is INCLUDED.

... It is NOT enough to simply use a SIL certified PLC and connect all the loops into that.

... It is NOT enough to get a SIL certified PLC and a certified transmitter and ignore the other parts of the safety loop
Important and surprising fact number 8

The part of a safety instrumented system that is most likely to fail is ... the people (see fact numbers 1 and 2)

Almost everyone will choose a certified PLC

*usually the MOST reliable part of the loop even without a certificate*

A lot of people will ask for a certified transmitter

*less reliable than the PLC but usually robust*

Some people will ask for a certificate with the valve

*... an unreliable part of the loop*

Too many people fail to ask for the safety report

*... the bit that is ESSENTIAL for the design (they went away surprisingly happy with a certificate!)*

Hardly anyone asks about the people

*... the LEAST reliable part*

*part covered by Functional Safety Management*
Important and surprising fact number 9

“Proven in use” or “Prior use” claims require substantial evidence and cannot easily be used.

... ONLY the end user can offer a “Proven in use” or “Prior use” claim as evidence of suitability in a safety instrumented system (and they need substantial valid evidence of previous use in the same application complete with failure records and safety management amongst other requirements)

... A salesperson or supplier cannot offer you “Proven in use” or “prior use” as evidence of a SIL rating claim.

... See the 61508 Association statement on “Proven in use” and “Prior use” claims.
Ask for evidence of Functional Safety Management (meeting the requirements of IEC61508 part 1 clause 6 or its matching requirements under the sector standards)

Don't accept the presence of an “expert” as proof of Functional Safety Management (there are no certified experts mentioned in the standard)

Don't buy components without the report (or equivalent) giving the evidence of reliability and all the associated conditions even if it does have a certificate

Don't use product reliabilities based upon factory return data unless you can prove that the application is the same (*not just similar*) – See 61508 Association notes on Proven in use for further guidance